

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMAPKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST N	AMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/105,299	06/26/98	ELLIS		D	SWA-04	
-		i 540 4 70 4		EXAMINER		
LM01/0403 HARDAWAY LAW FIRM P O BOX 10107 FED STATION				MIZRAL ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GREENVILLE SC 29603-0107				2771	3	
				DATE MAILED): 04/03/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/105,299**

Applicant

Darin Ellis et al.

Examiner

Diane Mizrahi

Group Art Unit 2771



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 26, 1998</u>	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/035 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>three</u> month(s), or thi longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for responsapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the 37 CFR 1.136(a).	se will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is	/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims are subject to restrict	ction or election requirement.
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disap	proved
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	provod.
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ※ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/105,299 Page 2

Art Unit: 2771

ş

III. DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.
- 2. The information disclosure statements filed on 06/26/98 complies with the provisions of MPEP § 609. It has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matthew Morgentern (5,970,490) in view of Herman Mitchell et al. (5,497,491 and Mitchell hereinafter).
- 5. Regarding Claims 1 and 18 Morgenstern teaches a system and associated method for transforming and exchanging data between heterogeneous computer systems using different formats for storing similar information (col 4, line 63 to col 5, line 1-4) (i.e. supporting direct transformations in the absence of



Art Unit: 2771

semantic models), comprising of means for transforming and processing import data into generic format data according to predetermined import (i.e. source and target) (col 7, lines 24-39) information rules and function (Figure 2); and an interface to communications means for receiving import data and for transmitting export database data (col 7, lines 24-39).

Morgenstern does not expressly teach data store data.

Mitchell teaches data store data (i.e. metadata) (Figure 2 and col 5, lines 41-52).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Morgenstern with the teachings of Mitchell to include data store data with the motivation to provide environment attributes which facilitate import and export (Mitchell, Col 2, lines 25-48).

6. Regarding Claim 2, Morgenstern teaches logical import and export data interfaces (col 7, lines 17-31 and Figure 2); a script processor (i.e. browser) for controlling data transformation withing the interface and movement of data into and out of the distribution system (col 8, lines 58-67 to col 9, lines 1-3); a rule set processor responsive to script processor



Art Unit: 2771

for manipulating a data bag for stored imported data and data bag (i.e. data storage) for storing export data (col 9, lines 1-3).

Morgenstern does not expressly teach a meta database.

Mitchell teaches meta database (Figure 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Morgenstern with the teachings of Mitchell to include meta database with the motivation to provide environment attributes which facilitate import and export (Mitchell, Col 2, lines 25-48).

7. Regarding Claim 14, Morgenstern teaches loading data... sorting... merging... appending... copying... joining... formatting... and saving for the purposes of transforming data (col 7, lines 40-49).

Morgenstern does not expressly teach using defined rule set.

Mitchell teaches rule set (i.e. metadata) (col 11, lines 2567 to col 12, lines 1-3).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Morgenstern with the teachings of Mitchell to include rule set with the motivation to provide and add to

Application/Control Number: 09/105,299

Art Unit: 2771

program complexity, cost and increases the possibility of errors (Mitchell, Col 1, lines 50-62).

- 8. Regarding Claim 3, the limitations of this claim has been noted in the rejection of claims 1,2,14 and 18 above. In addition, Morgenstern teaches a configuration management user interface (i.e. by the information bridge and information mediator) (col 5, lines 18-67).
- 9. Regarding Claims 4-13, and 15-17, the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1,2, 14 and 18 above. They are therefore rejected as set forth.

Joint Inventors

10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/105,299

Art Unit: 2771

Other Prior Art Made of Record

- 11. A. Anderson et al. (5,799,310) discloses a simple relational database extenders for handling complex data types;
- B. Kacyra et al. (5,257,366) discloses an integrated systems for quickly and accurately imaging and modeling three dimensional objects; and
- C. Sharif-Askary (5,729,733) discloses a method of operating a distributed database based on object ownership and transaction classification utilizing an aggressive reverse one phase commit protocol.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Points of Contact

13. Any inquiry concerning this communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Mizrahi whose telephone number is (703) 305-3806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 AM. to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2771

14.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (703) 305-9707. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-5403.

Diane Mizrahi Patent Examiner

March 29, 2000

HOSAIN T. ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER